## 

## Endogenous Discounting via Wealth, Twin-Peaks and the Role of Technology

Ingmar Schumacher

November 2006

# ENVIRONMENTAL \_\_ ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT MEMORANDUM



Chair Lhoist Berghmans in Environmental Economics and Management

Center for Operations Research and Econometrics (CORE)

# Endogenous Discounting via Wealth, Twin-Peaks and the Role of Technology

Ingmar Schumacher<sup>1</sup>

CORE - Université catholique de Louvain

November 3, 2006

#### Abstract

The article gives new answers to the two following questions: One, what can be a potential source of the twin-peaks of economic growth? Two, why were some of the countries that were believed to belong to the group of low steady state countries (like Taiwan, South Korea, Japan, etc.) able to reach a convergence path which led them to a high steady state?

We endogenize the time preference rate via a broad measure of wealth and provide empirical evidence that wealth affects the discount rate negatively. We concentrate on the multiplicity of equilibria and demonstrate how endogenous discounting leads to the twinpeaks of economic growth. We prove that improvements in technology can help avoid the Twin-Peaks.

#### JEL classification: D90, C61, O41.

*Keywords:* endogenous time preference, recursive utility, twin-peaks of economic growth, multiple equilibria.

## **1** Introduction

We are becoming more and more aware that convergence between country's income levels is far from obvious. Empirical observation has forcefully shown that rich countries are becoming richer, while poor ones are not able to catch up. For example, Jones (1997) finds that the world income distribution changed from a somewhat normal distribution shape towards a twin-peak shape. Even more disturbing, the key results from the recent empirical evidence suggest that the distribution of country's income diverges into rich and poor, whereas the group of middle-income countries vanishes (Azariadis, 1996, 2001; Quah, 1996a, 1996b; Barro and Sala-i-Martin, 1995). We then provide new answers to the following two questions: One, what can be a potential source of these twin-peaks? Two, why were some of the countries that were believed to belong to the group of low steady state countries (like Taiwan, South Korea, Japan, etc.) able to reach a convergence path which led them to a high steady state?

Theoretical models have attempted to draw some conclusions on the possible sources of these twin-peaks of economic growth. These models have either built on non-convexities in technologies to arrive at multiple steady states (Azariadis and Drazen, 1990) or on incomplete markets (Durlauf, 1993; Galor and Zeira, 1993; Quah,1996a). A review of the models and sources of twin-peaks can be found in Azariadis (2001).

In this article we propose endogenous discounting as another potential source of the twin-peaks of economic growth. Specifically, we argue that wealth affects the discount rate by proposing that this is a shortcut for suggesting that wealthier countries have better health standards (Case et al., 2002; Pritchett, 1996) and better insurance markets (Caroll, 1997; Banerjee and Newman,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Center for Operations Research and Econometrics (CORE), Université catholique de Louvain, 34 Voie du Roman Pays, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium; Tel: 003210474355; E-mail: schumacher@core.ucl.ac.be. The author is grateful for helpful comments by Raouf Boucekkine. Financial support from the Chaire Lhoist Berghmans in Environmental Economics is kindly acknowledged. Obviously, any errors lie with the author.

1991), all of which generally affects the agent's discount rate negatively (Frederick et al., 2002). We thus propose that wealth is assumed to affect the level of the discount rate negatively. This leads to a departure from the time-additive framework of Koopmans (1960) to the recursive framework poincered by Uzawa (1968).

There exist now an increasing number of endogenous discounting models, which however (nearly exclusively) have consumption as the source of endogenity (e.g. Becker and Mulligan, 1997; Epstein and Hynes, 1983; Obstfeld, 1990; Das, 2003; Drugeon, 1996). Two articles which investigates a decreasing discount rate endogenized via consumption are Drugeon (1996) and Das (2003). They suggest the possibility of multiple steady states without deriving conditions and without further analysis. As far as the author is aware, the endogenous discounting literature has therefore not yet dealt with the existence and implications of multiple steady states thoroughly enough.

We derive the sufficient condition for the existence of multiple steady states and show that, given the conditions are satisfied, three steady states can exist, two of which are stable. One of these will be a low-wealth, and the other a high-wealth steady state. Furthermore, we are also able to show that the group of middle-wealth countries is at an instable steady state and will therefore vanish and converge to either of the two high- or low-wealth steady states. Hence, discounting endogenized via wealth can provide another explanation for the development of the two-needs of economic growth.

We answer our second question by demonstrating how improvements in technology can help avoid the twin-peaks. This result ought to be particularly interesting for the case of developing countries.

The article is structured as follows. Section 2 provides some empirical evidence for an effect

of wealth on the discount rate. Section 3 introduces the model. In Section 4 we solve the model and give the sufficient condition for multiple steady states. We also derive the stability conditions. Section 5 assesses the effect of improvements in technology on the twin-peaks and Section 6 concludes.

## 2 Wealth as a source of endogenous discounting?

Our treatment of wealth here will be based upon a broad view of wealth by taking a combination of physical capital and human capital (see e.g. Mankiw, 1995, Barro and Sala-i-Martin, 1995). This allows for a wider applicability of the model.<sup>1</sup> As the empirical evidence is rather extensive, we shall only present a selection here. For a more thorough review of empirical evidence on the wealth channel the reader is referred to Schumacher (2006).

Fielding and Torres (2005) estimate the relationship between wealth, health and education for 41 developing countries. Their measure of wealth is particularly useful here, as it is based upon a wider measure of wealth than prior empirical analysis that only refers to income. They build an index of wealth including variables like electricity supply, number of radios, TVs or cars, access to flush toilet, etc. They use this to estimate that improvements in physical and human wealth lead to lower mortality rates. Their results are robust even across countries, pointing at a uniform effect of the variables in question.

This finding seems now widely accepted in the literature. Grossman and Kaestner (1997) as well as Grossman (2003) review the literature on the relationship between human wealth and health. Basically, the variable which has the highest correlation with health is human wealth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For an endogenous discounting model which separates human capital from physical capital see Fall and Schumacher (2006).

Elias (2003) estimates the magnitude of this effect by relating the death rates to the educational attainments for the USA for white males. From the 45 to 64 years old with less than 12 years of schooling, the death per 100,000 inhabitants were 1,304 in comparison to 510 deaths for the ones with more than 13 years of schooling. Those findings seem robust over time. Kitagwa and Hauser (1973) utilize data from the 1960 Census Records for the USA and even after controlling for income, they find that human wealth has a strong negative relationship with mortality rates. These results are confirmed by Feldman et al. (1989), Pappas et al. (1993), Preston and Elo (1995), Richard and Barry (1998).

Wealth as a source of endogenous discounting has also been proposed by Becker and Mulligan (1997) as well as Deaton and Paxson (1994), who, respectively, show that financial assets and human capital inequality grow as cohorts age, interpreted as a sign that either affects preferences. This also helps to shed light on the empirical observation that households with similar lifetime incomes hold very different amounts of wealth at retirement. These results are interpreted by Becker and Mulligan (1997) as a potential consequence of endogenous time preference.

Overall it seems that there are consistent reasons for wealth as a source of endogenous discounting, where wealth affects the discount rate negatively. We shall now turn to a simple model to assess the implication of this empirically-based extension.

## **3** The Model

The model is based on an infinitely-lived agent approach where the agent obtains utility from consumption. In addition, his wealth affects the discount rate negatively. Then, wealth can

be accumulated by investing but is reduced by consumption and constant depreciation. The infinitely-lived agent then attempts to solve the following problem.

$$\max_{\{c(t)\}} \int_{t=0}^{\infty} u(c(t))e^{-\theta(t)}dt \quad \text{s.t.} \begin{cases} \dot{k}(t) = f(k(t)) - c(t) - \delta k(t), \quad \forall t \\ \dot{\theta}(t) = \rho(k(t)), \qquad \forall t \\ k(t) \ge 0, \ c(t) \ge 0, \qquad \forall t, \\ \text{with } k(0) \text{ given.} \end{cases}$$
(1)

We make use of the following assumptions.

(A1) We impose that the production function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  follows standard assumptions of concavity, such that  $f(k) \ge 0$ , f(0) = 0, f'(k) > 0, f''(k) < 0, with  $\lim_{k\to 0} f'(k) = \infty$ , and  $\lim_{k\to\infty} f'(k) = 0$ . We also assume that the function is invertible, such that  $f^{-1}$  exists. We define  $\bar{k}$  as the level of k which solves  $f(k) = \delta k$ , and  $\tilde{k}$  as the level of k that solves  $f'(k) = \delta$ . (A2) The utility function  $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is at least twice continuously differentiable with u'(c) > 0, u''(c) < 0,  $\forall c$ , and  $\lim_{c\to 0} u'(c) = \infty$ . The constant relative risk aversion (CRRA) utility function has the functional form of  $u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$ , with  $\sigma \ge 0$ .

The assumption  $u'(0) = \infty$  allows to concentrate on interior solutions only. It corresponds to the assumption that at least a minimum amount of consumption is required for the continuation of the generations. The requirement of a positive domain for felicity is necessary for intuitive results, as shown in Schumacher (2006). The basic reasoning is that a policy maker who can choose the level of the discount rate will always wish to increase it in case felicity is negative, as this then improves overall utility. To avoid this counterintuitive situation we impose positive felicity throughout.

(A3) We take  $\rho(k)$  :  $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $C^1$  with  $\rho'(k) < 0$  and  $\rho''(k) > 0$ , where we impose

 $\lim_{k\to\infty}\rho(k) > 0.^2$ 

The assumptions underlying the behaviour of the discount rate have been motivated in the previous section. Defining the optimization problem by introducing the discount factor as another constraint allows the Hamiltonian to be independent of time which greatly simplifies the analysis.

## **4** Solving the Model

One can write

$$U(c,k) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} u(c(t))e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \rho(k(s))ds}dt.$$
 (2)

We define the value function

$$V(k) = \max_{\{c\}} U(c,k) \text{ s.t. } \dot{k} = f(k) - c - \delta k, \ k(0) > 0 \text{ given},$$
(3)

which leads to the following Bellman equation

$$0 = \max_{c} \left\{ u(c) - \rho(k)V(k) + V'(k)\dot{k} \right\}.$$
 (4)

We arrive at equation (4) by making use of the Leibnitz rule and the differentiability of the value function (which can e.g. be approached at via the Volterra derivative, see Ryder and Heal, 1973). Convexity of the technology set (see e.g. Becker and Boyd, 1992) then implies the existence of an optimal path.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Specific functional form could be  $\rho(k) = \bar{\rho}e^{-\beta k}$  or  $\bar{\rho}/(1+\beta k)$ , where  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\rho > 0$ .

The first order conditions are

$$u'(c) = V'(k), \tag{5}$$

whereas optimality is assured if

$$u''(c) < 0. \tag{6}$$

Due to our assumption of a concave utility function this implies condition (6) is satisfied. By equation (5) we can write c(k), substituting this in (4) gives

$$0 = -\rho'(k)V(k) + V''(k)\dot{k} + V'(k)(f'(k) - \delta - \rho(k)).$$
(7)

We know that  $V'(k) = \lambda$ , with  $\lambda$  being the shadow price of capital. Also,  $V''(k)\dot{k} = \frac{\partial V'(k)}{\partial k}\frac{\partial k}{\partial t}$ , implying  $V''(k)\dot{k} = \dot{\lambda}$ . V(k) is the prospective optimal value of utility.

Rewriting leads to the following system

$$\dot{c} = -\frac{u'(c)}{u''(c)} \left[ f'(k) - \delta - \rho(k) - \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} \left( \frac{u(c)}{u'(c)} + \dot{k} \right) \right], \tag{8}$$

$$\dot{k} = f(k) - c - \delta k. \tag{9}$$

The last term of equation (8) is obtained by making use of the correspondence between firstorder conditions from the Hamiltonian method and the Bellman method as well as using Michel's (1982) transversality condition. That this correspondence indeed holds is because the conditions from Stokey and Lucas [35], are satisfied.<sup>3</sup>

With respect to steady states it is simple to show that a steady state always exists for interior

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Generally, endogenous discountings models following the line of research of Obstfeld (1990) use the Hamiltonian method which requires negative utility for optimality. This must be avoided for intuition as discussed above.

paths. We now provide a sufficient condition for the existence of multiple states.<sup>4</sup> We define  $m(k) = \frac{\rho''(k)\rho(k)-\rho'(k)^2}{\rho(k)^2}$  with  $m(k) \ge 0$ , and  $n(k) = \frac{u'(c)^2 - u''(c)u(c)}{u'(c)^2} > 0$ .

**Proposition 1** Sufficient conditions for the existence of multiple steady states are given by  $\exists k < \tilde{k} \text{ such that } f''(k) > \rho'(k) + m(k) \frac{u(c)}{u'(c)} + [f'(k) - \delta] \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} n(k) \text{ AND } f'(k) - \delta < \rho(k) + \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} \frac{u(f(k) - \delta k)}{u'(f(k) - \delta k)}, \text{ as well as } -\frac{\rho(\tilde{k})^2}{\rho'(\tilde{k})} > \frac{u(f(k) - \delta k)}{u'(f(k) - \delta k)}.$ 

#### **Proof 1** See Appendix.■

The first part of the sufficient condition is a requirement on the slope of the production function and a necessary condition for a low steady state. The second part is a sufficient condition for a high steady state. In combination, both conditions are sufficient for the existence of the three steady states. We denote the steady states as  $0 < k^l < k^m (< \tilde{k}) < k^h (< \bar{k})$ . In effect, our conditions for multiple equilibria are quite strong, as they restrict the  $k^m$  steady state to be to the left of  $\tilde{k}$ . It is possible to provide a set of sufficiency conditions which are less restrictive, but at the cost of tractability without changing the main results of the model.

Given the conditions for multiple equilibria are fulfilled we are able to characterize the dynamic behaviour of the curves in a Phase-Diagram, where we take the case of complex dynamics for the  $k^m$  steady state. This situation is depicted in Figure 1.

$$<$$
 Figure 1 here  $>$ 

#### Figure 1: Saddle path dynamics

We are now going to derive the arrows for the Phase-Diagram, making use of equations (8) -(9). If (c, k) is above the  $\dot{k} = 0$  line, then  $f(k) - \delta k - c < 0$  and hence  $\dot{k} < 0$ , for (c, k)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The case of unique steady state has been treated in Schumacher (2006).

below the  $\dot{k} = 0$  we have  $\dot{k} > 0$ . For (c, k) under the  $\dot{c} = 0$  line, we have  $\dot{c} < (>)0$  if  $f''(k) - \rho'(k) < (>)m(k)\frac{u(c)}{u'(c)} + [f'(k) - \delta]\frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)}n(k)$ . When we look at the conditions for multiple equilibria, we exactly notice that  $\dot{c} < 0$  for the low and high steady states, but  $\dot{c} > 0$  for the middle steady state. Hence we can draw the arrows as in Figure 1 and notice that the low and the high steady state are stable, whereas the middle one is instable. Furthermore, from the subsequent analysis we will obtain that for some conditions, the middle steady state will have complex dynamics.

In addition, conditions for the dynamics can be derived locally, from linearizing the system around the steady states. When one linearizes the dynamical system around the non-trivial steady states,  $\{c^l, k^l\}$ ,  $\{c^m, k^m\}$  and  $\{c^h, k^h\}$ , one gets the following differential system:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \rho(k) + \delta - f'(k) & -\frac{u'(c)}{u''(c)} \left( f''(k) - \rho'(k) - m(k) \frac{u(c)}{u'(c)} - (f'(k) - \delta) \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} \right) \\ -1 & f'(k) - \delta \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (10)

As is well-known, the system is saddle path stable if there exists one positive and one negative eigenvalue, denoted by  $\lambda_{1,2}$ . As the trace is  $\text{Tr}(J) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ , and the determinant is  $\text{Det}(J) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$ , it suffices to show that the trace is positive and the determinant is negative. We can thus show that the trace of this matrix is given by  $\text{Tr}(J) = \rho(k) > 0$ , while the determinant is negative if

$$f''(k) < \rho'(k) + m(k)\frac{u(c)}{u'(c)} + \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)}(f'(k) - \delta)n(k).$$
(11)

From the sufficient conditions for the multiple equilibria we know that this condition does not hold for the middle steady state, which is thus instable. But we know that it holds for the low and high steady states, which thus implies that they are saddle path stable. Complex dynamics arise if  $Tr(J)^2 < 4Det(J)$ . As Det(J) < 0 for the low and high steady state, this excludes the possibility of complex dynamics for these steady states. However, for the medium steady state complex dynamics occur if  $\rho(k)^2 < -4 \frac{u'(c)}{u''(c)} \left( f''(k) - \rho'(k) - m(k) \frac{u(c)}{u'(c)} - n(k) \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} (f'(k) - \delta) \right)$ . The multiplicity of steady states occurs because when an economy is very poor then, firstly, increases in consumption are necessary for survival  $(u'(0) = \infty)$ , and secondly the agents are so impatient that the preferences are nearly exclusively directed towards today, implying that most wealth will be directly consumed. For  $k > k^m$  however, this implies that overall wealth has already been built up sufficiently in order to incorporate far-sighted goals, such that the discount rate is relatively low. For example, with a low enough mortality rate agents will need to plan ahead carefully to the distant future, whereas a high mortality rate (due to the various feedbacks in section 2 implies that agents don't expect to become old and thus do not plan ahead.

## 5 The effect of improvements in technology

As the Phase-Diagramm in Figure 1 shows, if the conditions for multiple equilibria are satisfied then there exist three equilibria. One of these starts with a low level of capital, is stable and ends up with a low level of wealth  $(k^l)$ , another starts with a medium level of capital, is instable and diverges  $(k^m)$ . The last one is a stable steady state with high wealth  $(k^h)$ . This corresponds to the recent twin-peaks of economic growth hypothesis raised by researchers like Quah (1996a,b), Jones (1997) and Azariadis (1996). We can provide more foundation to our analysis with some comparative statics. If there is an exogenous increase in total factor productivity (TFP) A, where we define  $f(k) \equiv Ag(k)$ , then the steady state curve of capital stock shifts upwards, whereas the one of consumption shifts down (see Figure 2). We take the total derivative of the steady state version of equations (8)-(9) with respect to k and A to get

$$\frac{dk}{dA} = \frac{g'(k) - \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)}g(k)n(k)}{-Ag''(k) + \rho'(k) + \frac{\rho''(k)\rho(k) - \rho'(k)^2}{\rho(k)^2}\frac{Ag(k) - \delta k}{1 - \sigma} + \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)}\frac{Ag'(k) - \delta}{1 - \sigma}}.$$
(12)

The nominator of this equation is always positive. If the low and high steady states are saddle path stable then we know that the denominator is positive, wherefore improvements in total factor productivity will increase wealth, whereas for the medium steady state the denominator is negative, which necessarily implies that the wealth of the medium steady state is reduced.

#### < Figure 2 here >

#### Figure 2: An exogenous shift in TFP

The intuition is that if wealth is more productive, then it is more efficient to increase the level of wealth and thus to reduce the discount rate. An exogenous increase of the marginal effect of wealth on the discount rate also shifts the steady state consumption curve down and right, because it is then more efficient to increase wealth to reduce the discount rate than to increase consumption.

This result points at the potential of technology to overcome the twin-peaks and help convergence towards the high steady state. Clearly, in recent years, some of the countries that were believed to belong to the group of low steady state countries (South Korea, Taiwan, etc.) were able to reach a convergence path which led them to a high steady state. It seems evident that this is due to improvements in technology.

## 6 Conclusion

In this article we suggest that the discount rate should not be treated exogenously, but instead should be able to vary. Specifically, we provide empirical evidence which suggests that the discount rate is a negative function of a broad measure of wealth, encompassing physical as well as human wealth.

We then show that wealth as a source of endogenous discounting can be another explanation for the twin-peaks of economic growth hypothesis. The twin-peaks of economic growth hypothesis (see Quah, 1996a) suggests that rich countries become richer while poor ones stay poor, thus producing a twin-peaks distribution of income.

We derive the sufficient conditions for multiple equilibria and show that there will exist three equilibria, two stable ones and one instable one. The two stable ones are the low-wealth and high-wealth equilibria, whereas the instable equilibrium belongs to the middle-income group. We notice that this conforms to recent empirical results from Quah (1996b) and Azariadis (2001). Whereas the current literature on twin-peaks requires some non-concavity assumption in the production function, we are able to characterize endogenous discounting via wealth as another potential source of the twin-peaks.

We show that improvements in technology can help avoid the twin-peaks. This should provide some new perspective for policy makers with respect to the debate on the development of nations.

## 7 Appendix: Sufficient condition for multiple Steady States

We use the steady state equations

$$f'(k) - \delta - \rho(k) = \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} \frac{u(c)}{u'(c)}$$
$$f(k) - \delta k = c.$$

We define G(k) = A(k) - B(k), where  $A(k) = f'(k) - \delta - \rho(k)$  and  $B(k) = \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} \frac{u(f(k) - \delta k)}{u'(f(k) - \delta k)}$ . Then  $G'(k) = f''(k) - \rho'(k) - m(k) \frac{u(c)}{u'(c)} - [f'(k) - \delta] \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} n(k)$ , where  $c = f(k) - \delta k$ . We know that  $\lim_{k\to 0} G(k) = \infty$  and  $\lim_{k\to \bar{k}} G(k) = z$ , where z is a negative but finite number. Hence, the curve G(k) starts from positive infinity to negative finite for  $k = \bar{k}$ . As each argument of G(k) is continuous, we know that G(k) is continuous. A sufficient condition for a low steady state is then that  $\exists k < \tilde{k}$  such that G'(k) > 0 with G(k) < 0. A sufficient condition for a low for a high steady state is  $G(\bar{k}) > 0$ . These conditions hold imply that  $\exists k < \tilde{k}$  such that  $f''(k) > \rho'(k) + m(k) \frac{u(c)}{u'(c)} + [f'(k) - \delta] \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} n(k)$  AND  $f'(k) - \delta < \rho(k) + \frac{\rho'(k)}{\rho(k)} \frac{u(f(k) - \delta k)}{u'(f(k) - \delta k)}$ , as well as  $-\frac{\rho(\bar{k})^2}{\rho'(\bar{k})} > \frac{u(f(k) - \delta k)}{u'(f(k) - \delta k)}$ .

## References

- Azariadis, C., 1996. The Economics of Poverty Traps. Part One: Complete Markets. Journal of Economic Growth 1, 449-486.
- [2] Azariadis, C., 2001. The Theory of Poverty Traps. What Have We Learned?. mimeo UCLA.
- [3] Azariadis, C., Drazen, A., 1990. Threshold Externalities in Economic Development. The Quarterly Journal of Economics 105, 501-526.
- [4] Banerjee, A., Newman, A.,1991. Risk-Bearing and the Theory of Income Distribution. The Review of Economic Studies 58, 211-235.
- [5] Barro, R., Lee, L. 1993. International Comparisons of Educational Attainment. Journal of Monetary Economics 32, 363-394.
- [6] Barro, R., Sala-i-Martin, X., 1995. Economic Growth, McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- [7] Becker, G., Mulligan, C., 1997. The endogenous determination of time preference. Quarterly Journal of Economics 112, 729-758.
- [8] Case, A., Lubotsky, D., Paxson, C., 2002. Economic Status and Health in Childhood: The Origins of the Gradient. The American Economic Review 92, 1308-1334.
- [9] Carroll, C., 1997. Buffer-Stock Saving and the Life Cycle/Permanent Income Hypothesis. The Quarterly Journal of Economics 112, 1-55.
- [10] Das, M., 2003. Optimal growth with decreasing marginal impatience. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 27, 1881-1898.

- [11] Deaton, A., 2001. Health, Inequality, and Economic Development. NBER WP No. 8318.
- [12] Deaton, A., Paxson, C., 1994. Intertemporal Choice and Consumption Inequality. Journal of Political Economy 120, 437-467.
- [13] Drugeon, J.-P., 1996. Impatience and long-run growth. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 20, 281-313.
- [14] Durlauf, S., 1993. Nonergodic Economic Growth. Review of Economic Studies 60, 349-366.
- [15] Epstein, L., Hynes, J., 1983. The rate of time preference and dynamic economic analysis. Journal of Political Economy 91, 611-635.
- [16] Elias, J., 2003. The Education Premium Puzzle. University of Chicago, mimeo.
- [17] Fall, E., Schumacher, I., 2006. Education as a source of endogenous discounting in a semi-endogenous growth model. mimeo.
- [18] Feldman, J., Makuc, D., Kleinman, J., Cornoni-Huntley, J., 1989. National trends in educational differences in mortality. American Journal of Epidemiology 129, 919-1033.
- [19] Fielding, D., Torres, S., 2005. Health, Wealth, Fertility, Education and Inequality. University o Otageo Economics Discussion Papers No.0505.
- [20] Frederick, S., Loewenstein, G., O'Donoghue, T., 2002. Time Discounting and Time Preference: A Critical Review. Journal of Economic Literature 40, 351-401.
- [21] Galor, O., Zeira, J., 1993. Income Distribution and Macroeconomics. Review of Economic Studies 60, 35-52.

- [22] Grossman, M., 2003. Education and Nonmarket Outcomes. In: E. Hanushek, Welch, F. (Eds.), Handbook of the Economics of Education. Amsterdam, North-Holland, Elsevier.
- [23] Grossman, M., Kaestner, R., 1997. Effects of education on health. In: J. Behrman, Stacey,
   N. (Eds.), The Social Benefits of Education. University of Michigan. Press, Ann Arbor,
   Michigan, (1997) 69-123.
- [24] Jones, C., 1997. On the Evolution of the World Income Distribution. Journal of Economic Perspectives 11, 19-36.
- [25] Kenkel, D., 1991. Health Behavior, Health Knowledge, and Schooling. Journal of Political Economy 99, 287-305.
- [26] Kitagwa, E., Hauser, P., 1973. Differential Mortality in the United States: A Study in Socioeconomic Epidemiology. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- [27] Koopmans, T., 1960. Stationary Ordinal Utility and Impatience. Econometrica 28, 287-309.
- [28] Mankiw, G., 1995. The Growth of Nations. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity 1, 275-326.
- [29] Mankiw, G., Romer, D., Weil, D., 1992. A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth. Quarterly Journal of Economics 107, 407-437.
- [30] Michel, P., 1982. On the Transversality Conditions in Infinite Horizon Problems. Econometrica 50, 975-985.
- [31] Obstfeld, M., 1990. Intertemporal dependence, impatience and dynamics. Journal of Monetary Economics 26, 45-75.

- [32] Pappas, G., Queen, S., Hadden, W., Fisher, G., 1993. The increasing disparity in mortality between socioeconomic groups in the United States, 1960 and 1986. New England Journal of Medicine 329,
- [33] Preston, S., Elo, I., 1995. Are educational differentials in adult mortality increasing in the United States?. Journal of Aging and Health 7, 476-496.
- [34] Pritchett, L., Summers, L., 1996. Wealthier is Healthier. The Journal of Human Resources 31, 841-868.
- [35] Stokey, N., Lucas, E., 1989. Recursive Methods in Economic Dynamics. Harvard, Harvard University Press.
- [36] Quah, D., 1996. Twin Peaks: Growth and Convergence in Models of Distribution Dynamics. Economic Journal 106, 1045-1055.
- [37] Quah, D., 1996 Convergence Empirics Across Economies with (Some) Capital Mobility. Journal of Economic Growth 1, 95-124.
- [38] Richard, H., Barry, R., 1998. U.S. life tables for 1990 by sex, race, and education. Journal of Forensic Economics 11, 9-26.
- [39] Schumacher, I., 2006. On Optimality, Endogenous Discounting and Wealth Accumulation. CORE Discussion Paper No. 2006/103.
- [40] Stark, O., 1991. Altruism and Beyond. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- [41] Uzawa, H., 1968, Time Preference, the Consumption Function, and optimum Asset Holdings. In: Wolfe, J. (Ed.), Value, Capital and Growth. Chicago.

### **Environmental Economics & Management Memoranda**

- 54. Ingmar SCHUMACHER. Endogenous discounting via wealth, twin-peaks and the role of technology. November 2006.
- 53. Ingmar SCHUMACHER. On optimality, endogenous discounting and wealth accumulation. October 2006
- 52. Jakub GROWIEC, Ingmar SCHUMACHER. On technical change in the elasticities of research inputs. November 2006.
- 51. Maria Eugenia SANIN. Market Design in Wholesale Electricity Markets. October 2006 (also available as CORE DP 2006/100)
- 50. Luisito BERTINELLI, Eric STROBL and Benteng ZOU. Polluting technologies and sustainable economic development. June 2006. (also available as CORE DP 2006/52).
- 49. Marc GERMAIN, Alphonse MAGNUS. Prices versus quantities: Stock pollution control with repeated choice of the instrument. October 2005. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 197 (2006) 437-445.
- 48. Agustin PEREZ-BARAHONA. Capital accumulation and exhaustible energy resources: a special functions case. September 2006.
- 47. Philippe TULKENS, Henry TULKENS. The White House and the Kyoto Protocol: Double standards on uncertainties and their consequences. May 2006. (also TERI School of Advanced Studies WP Series #1).
- 46. Thierry BRECHET, Pierre-André JOUVET. Environmental innovation and the cost of pollution abatement. January 2006. (also available as CORE DP 2006/40).
- 45. Fabien PRIEUR. The implication of irreversible pollution on the relation between growth and the environment: The degenerate Kuznets curve. February 2006.
- 44. Thierry BRECHET, Marc GERMAIN, Philippe MONTFORT. Allocation des efforts de dépollution dans des économies avec spécialisation internationale. *Revue Economique*, 57(2), Mars 2006.
- 43. Ingmar SCHUMACHER and Benteng ZOU. Habit in Pollution, A Challenge for Intergenerational Equity. March 2006.
- 42. Jean-Charles HOURCADE, P.R. SHUKLA and Sandrine MATHY. Cutting the Climate-Development Gordian Knot Economic options in a politically constrained world. September 2005.
- 41. Urs LUTERBACHER. Climate Change, the Kyoto Protocol, and Transatlantic Relations. November 2005.
- 40. Parkash CHANDER and Henry TULKENS. Cooperation, Stability and Self-Enforcement in International Environmental Agreements: A Conceptual Discussion. July 2005.
- 39. Paul-Marie BOULANGER et Thierry BRECHET. Le Mécanisme pour un Développement Propre tiendra-t-il ses promesses ? *Reflets et Perspectives de la Vie Economique*, Tome XLIV 2005 N° 3, 5-27.
- 38. Paul-Marie BOULANGER and Thierry BRECHET. Models for policy-making in sustainable development: The state of the art and perspectives for research. *Ecological Economics*, 55, 337-350, 2005.
- Johan EYCKMANS an Henry TULKENS. Optimal and Stable International Climate Agreements. October 2005. Reprint from "Economic Aspects of Climate Change Policy : A European and Belgian Perspective", a joint product of CES-K.U.Leuven and CORE-UCL, edited by Bert Willems, Johan Eyckmans and Stef Proost, published by ACCO, 3000 Leuven (Belgium)
- Thierry BRECHET and Benoît LUSSIS. The Clean Development Mechanism in Belgian Climate Policy. October 2005. Reprint from "*Economic Aspects of Climate Change Policy : A European and Belgian Perspective*", a joint product of CES-K.U.Leuven and CORE-UCL, edited by Bert Willems, Johan Eyckmans and Stef Proost, published by ACCO, 3000 Leuven (Belgium)
- 35. Vincent VAN STEENBERGHE. The impact of banking on permits prices and compliance costs. October 2005. Reprint from "*Economic Aspects of Climate Change Policy : A European and Belgian Perspective*", a joint product of CES-K.U.Leuven and CORE-UCL, edited by Bert Willems, Johan Eyckmans and Stef Proost, published by ACCO, 3000 Leuven (Belgium)
- 34. Johan EYCKMANS, Denise VAN REGEMORTER and Vincent VAN STEENBERGHE. Kyoto-permit prices and compliance costs: an analysis with MacGEM. October 2005. Reprint from "Economic Aspects of Climate Change Policy : A European and Belgian Perspective", a joint product of CES-K.U.Leuven and CORE-UCL, edited by Bert Willems, Johan Eyckmans and Stef Proost, published by ACCO, 3000 Leuven (Belgium)

- 33. Johan EYCKMANS, Bert WILLEMS and Jean-Pascal VAN YPERSELE. Climate Change: Challenges for the World. October 2005. Reprint from "Economic Aspects of Climate Change Policy : A European and Belgian Perspective", a joint product of CES-K.U.Leuven and CORE-UCL, edited by Bert Willems, Johan Eyckmans and Stef Proost, published by ACCO, 3000 Leuven (Belgium)
- 32. Marc GERMAIN, Stef PROOST and Bert SAVEYN. The Belgian Burden Sharing. October 2005. Reprint from "Economic Aspects of Climate Change Policy : A European and Belgian Perspective", a joint product of CES-K.U.Leuven and CORE-UCL, edited by Bert Willems, Johan Eyckmans and Stef Proost, published by ACCO, 3000 Leuven (Belgium)
- 31. Ingmar SCHUMACHER. Reviewing Social Discounting within Intergenerational Moral Intuition. June 2005.
- 30. Stéphane LAMBRECHT. The effects of a demographic shock in an OLG economy with pay-as-you-go pensions and property rights on the environment: the case of selfish households. January 2005.
- 29. Stéphane LAMBRECHT. Maintaining environmental quality for overlapping generations: Some Reflections on the US Sky Trust Initiative. May 2005.
- 28. Thierry BRECHET, Benoît LUSSIS. The contribution of the Clean Development Mechanism to national climate policies. April 2005.
- 27. Thierry BRECHET, Stéphane LAMBRECHT, Fabien PRIEUR. Intergenerational transfers of pollution rights and growth. May 2005 (also available as CORE DP 2005/42).
- 26. Maryse LABRIET, Richard LOULOU. From non-cooperative CO<sub>2</sub> abatement strategies to the optimal world cooperation: Results from the integrated MARKAL model. April 2005.
- 25. Marc GERMAIN, Vincent VAN STEENBERGHE, Alphonse MAGNUS. Optimal Policy with Tradable and Bankable Pollution Permits : Taking the Market Microstructure into Account. *Journal of Public Economy Theory*, 6(5), 2004, 737-757.
- 24. Marc GERMAIN, Stefano LOVO, Vincent VAN STEENBEGHE. De l'impact de la microstructure d'un marché de permis de polluer sur la politique environnementale. *Annales d'Economie et de Statistique*, n° 74 2004, 177-208.
- 23. Marc GERMAIN, Alphonse MAGNUS, Vincent VAN STEENBERGHE. Should developing countries participate in the Clean Development Mechanism under the Kyoto Protocol ? The low-hanging fruits and baseline issues. December 2004.
- 22. Thierry BRECHET et Paul-Marie BOULANGER. Le Mécanisme pour un Développement Propre, ou comment faire d'une pierre deux coups. *Regards Economiques*, Ires n° 27, janvier 2005.
- Sergio CURRARINI & Henry TULKENS. Stable international agreements on transfrontier pollution with ratification constraints. In C. Carrarro and V. Fragnelli (eds.), *Game Practice and the Environment*. Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2004, 9-36. (also available as CORE Reprint 1715).
- 20. Agustin PEREZ-BARAHONA & Benteng ZOU. A comparative study of energy saving technical progress in a vintage capital model. December 2004.
- 19. Agustin PEREZ-BARAHONA & Benteng ZOU. Energy saving technological progress in a vintage capital model. December 2004.
- 18. Matthieu GLACHANT. Voluntary agreements under endogenous legislative threats and imperfect enforcement. November 2004.
- 17. Thierry BRECHET, Stéphane LAMBRECHT. Puzzling over sustainability: an equilibrium analysis. November 2004.
- 16. Vincent VAN STEENBERGHE. Core-stable and equitable allocations of greenhouse gas emission permits. October 2004. (also available as CORE DP 2004/75)
- 15. Pierre-André JOUVET Philippe MICHEL, Pierre PESTIEAU. Public and private environmental spending. A political economy approach. September 2004. (also available as CORE DP 2004/68).
- 14. Thierry BRECHET, Marc GERMAIN, Vincent VAN STEENBERGHE. The clean development mechanism under the Kyoto protocol and the 'low-hanging fruits' issue. July 2004. (also available as CORE DP 2004/81).
- 13. Thierry BRECHET, Philippe MICHEL. Environmental performance and equilibrium. July 2004. (also available as CORE DP 2004/72).
- 12. Luisito BERTINELLI, Eric STROBL. The Environmental Kuznets Curve semi-parametrically revisited. July 2004. (also available as CORE DP 2004/51).
- 11. Axel GOSSERIES, Vincent VAN STEENBERGHE. Pourquoi des marchés de permis de polluer ? Les enjeux économiques et éthiques de Kyoto. April 2004. (also available as IRES discussion paper n° 2004-21).

- 10. Vincent VAN STEENBERGHE. CO<sub>2</sub> Abatement costs and permits price : Exploring the impact of banking and the role of future commitments. December 2003. (also available as CORE DP 2003/98).
- 9. Katheline SCHUBERT. Eléments sur l'actualisation et l'environnement. March 2004.
- 8. Marc GERMAIN. Modélisations de marchés de permis de pollution. July 2003.
- 7. Marc GERMAIN. Le Mécanisme de Développement Propre : Impacts du principe d'additionalité et du choix de la baseline. January 2003.
- 6. Thierry BRECHET et Marc GERMAIN. Les affres de la modélisation. May 2002.
- 5. Marc GERMAIN and Vincent VAN STEENBERGHE. Constraining equitable allocations of tradable CO<sub>2</sub> emission quotas by acceptability, *Environmental and Resource Economics*, (26) 3, 2003.
- 4. Marc GERMAIN, Philippe TOINT, Henry TULKENS and Aart DE ZEEUW. Transfers to sustain dynamic coretheoretic cooperation in international stock pollutant control, *Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control*, (28) 1, 2003.
- 3. Thierry BRECHET, Marc GERMAIN et Philippe MONTFORT. Spécialisation internationale et partage de la charge en matière de réduction de la pollution. (also available as IRES discussion paper n°2003-19).
- 2. Olivier GODARD. Le risque climatique planétaire et la question de l'équité internationale dans l'attribution de quotas d'émission échangeable. May 2003.
- 1. Thierry BRECHET. Entreprise et environnement : des défis complémentaires ? March 2002. Revue Louvain.

#### Environmental Economics & Management Memorandum

Chair Lhoist Berghmans in Environmental Economics and Management Center for Operations Research & Econometrics (CORE) Université catholique de Louvain (UCL) Voie du Roman Pays 34 B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

Hard copies are available upon request : <u>env@core.ucl.ac.be</u> Papers are available in pdf format on line : <u>www.core.ucl.ac.be/chlhoist</u>